

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Γ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/749,252	12/30/2003	Eui-Chan Cho	11038-139-999	8366	
	24341	7590 05/24/2005	EXAMINER			
	MORGAN, I	LEWIS & BOCKIUS,	LLP.	STRIMBU, GREGORY J		
	2 PALO ALTO	O SQUARE				
	3000 EL CAM	IINO REAL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	PALO ALTO,	CA 94306		. 3634		
			DATE MAILED, 05/24/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/749,25		CHO, EUI-CHAN					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Gregory J		3634					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status	·								
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2005.								
2a)⊠	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.								
3)[Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		•						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application	٦.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election re	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment	(c)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 No(s)/Mail Date	18)	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	stent Application (PTC)-152)				
·			 -						

Art Unit: 3634

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes the legal phraseology "means" on line 10 which should be avoided. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The disclosure does not support the stop bar being non-elastic. Merely because the stop bar 9 is inserted into the glass rail 3 does not mean that the stop bar is non-elastic. Note that Wautelet et al. discloses an elastic stop bar 75 which is not coiled and is inserted into the glass rail 36 as evidenced by figures 1 and 4. Additionally, paragraph 15 does not support the stop bar being non-

elastic since only the hitching lug 15 is inserted into the hitching hole 13. Therefore, only the hitching lug 15 need be non-elastic.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Lines 1-4 of claim 4 render the claim indefinite because it is unclear what the applicant is attempting to set forth. What position is the window regulator in when the respective measurements are made? How is a line defined by movement?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doveinis in view of Nemoto. Doveinis discloses a door window glass regulator assembly for a vehicle comprising a lifting arm 36, a glass rail 26 slidably connected to the lifting arm for effecting vertical movement of the glass rail by rotational motion of the lifting arm, an auxiliary arm 38 hinged to the lifting arm and slidably connected to the glass rail, a support rail 44 for slidably supporting the auxiliary arm 38, first 30 and

Art Unit: 3634

second 40 sliders, the first slider 30 slidably connecting the lifting arm to the glass rail, and the second slider slidably 40 connecting the auxiliary arm to the glass rail, a rotation point 34, a hinge point 42. Doveinis is silent concerning a slidable non-elastic stop bar.

However, Nemoto discloses a door window glass regulator assembly comprising a slider 2 including a slidable, non-elastic bar 11 and a connecting element 21 for connecting the non-elastic stop bar to the slider, a hitching hole 23, hitching lug 131 and a damper 12.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Doveinis with sliders, as taught by Nemoto, to reduce noise, wobbling and unstable movement of the glass window.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/749,252 Page 5

Art Unit: 3634

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 571-272-6836. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory . Strimba Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

May 19, 2005